

Abstract

Seed germination of Sicilian durum wheat landraces under the influence of different temperature regimes [†]

mauro vaccarella *, benedetto frangipane, claudia miceli, luciano raimondo, antonino rigoglioso

¹ Council for in Agricultural Research and Economics - Research Center for Plant Protection and Certification Viale Regione Siciliana sud/est, 8669 – 90121 Palermo – Italy; benedetto.frangipane@crea.gov.it; (B.F.); luciano.raimondo@crea.gov.it (L.R.); antonino.rigoglioso@crea.gov.it (A.R.); claudia.miceli@crea.gov.it (C.L.)

* Correspondence: mauro.vaccarella@crea.gov.it

[†] Presented at the 1st International Electronic Conference on Agronomy, 3–17 May 2021; Available online: <https://iecag2021.sciforum.net/>.

Abstract: The aim of this study was to determinate how different temperature regimes affect seed germinating traits of four conventional varieties of durum wheat (Simeto, Core, Antalis and Orizzonte) and eight landraces (Bidì, Capeiti 8, Castiglione glabro, Faricello, Francesa, Perciasacchi, Timilia Reste Bianche e Timilia Reste Nere). Nine parameters were measured under laboratory condition: germination, shoot length, root length, roots number, seed vigor index, fresh shoot weight, fresh root weight, dry shoot weight, dry root weight. Experimental units were arranged in a two factorial design using a complete randomize design (CRD) with four replications. Results of variance analysis showed that different temperature and genotypes had highly significant effect on all parameters studied. Faricello, Castiglione glabro and Perciasacchi have good germination to low temperature and Bidì has a good growth rate of many germination traits that makes this landrace very attractive.

Keywords: durum wheat, landraces, germination traits, temperature

Citation: Lastname, F.; Lastname, F.; Lastname, F. Title. *Proceedings* **2021**, *68*, x. <https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx>

Published: date

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Sicily, with its variable pedoclimatic conditions, represents an important source of agro-biodiversity. In this context, over the past centuries, farmers have made a continuous selection that has led to the creation of numerous landraces. Today 23 Sicilian durum wheat landraces [*Triticum turgidum* L. subsp. durum (desf.) Husn.] are listed in the national register of varieties. Wheat landraces are composed of traditional crop varieties developed by farmers through many years of natural and human selection and are adapted to local environmental conditions and management practices. Landraces are named and maintained by traditional farmers to meet their social, economic, cultural, and environmental needs. Landraces, which have arisen through a combination of natural selection and the selection performed by farmers usually have a broader genetic base and can therefore provide valuable characteristics important for breeding [1]. Seed germination and seedlings vigor are prerequisites for successful stand establishment and are extremely important factors in determining the yield of the crop [2]. Temperature is a key factor driving this physiological process and, since no previous work on these genotypes has been carried out, it was thought to perform this research. The aim of this study was to determine how different temperature regimes affect seed germinating traits of four conventional varieties of durum wheat most cultivated in Sicily (Simeto, Core, Antalis and Orizzonte) and eight landraces (Bidì, Capeiti 8, Castiglione glabro, Faricello, Francesa, Perciasacchi, Timilia Reste Bianche [Timilia R.B.] e Timilia Reste Nere [Timilia R.N.]). This first information could be useful for future breeding programs.

2. Results

Temperature, genotype and their interaction determined highly significant differences in all the germination traits studied as reported in Table 1.

Table 1: Factorial analysis of variance showing the means square of the temperature and genotypes and their interaction for the germination traits studied

	degree of freedom	Germination (%)	Shoot Length (cm)	Root Length (cm)	Number of roots	Seed Vigor Index	Fresh Shoot Weight (mg)	Fresh Root Weight (mg)	Dry Shoot Weight (mg)	Dry root Weight (mg)
Temperature (T)	2	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Genotype (G)	11	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
T X G	22	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Error	108	17,583	0.322	0.337	0.204	4099.379388	0.086	0.081	0.004	0.003

*** significantly different at P < 0.0001

Raise in temperature has determined a specific increasing trend of value for Shoot Length, Seed Vigour Index, Fresh Shoot weight, Dry Shoot Weight, and Dry Root Weight. The growth of the root system has decreased to the highest temperature. Timilia Reste bianche had the best germination percentage in all the temperature regimes tested; Perciasacchi and Faricello showed the highest germination percentage at 10°C and 20 °C; at the highest temperature, these data were statistically significantly reduced. For Shoot length and Seed Vigor Index at 10°C, there was no difference between the genotypes analyzed. Bidì has a good growth rate of many germination traits that makes this landrace very attractive. The influence of different temperature level on all the parameters considered is shown in tables 2 and 3 (the most interesting genotypes are highlighted in green).

Table 2: Germination traits and seed vigor index of eight durum wheat landraces and four modern cultivar under the influences of different temperature regimes

Source of variation	Germination (%)	Shoot Length (cm)	Root Length (cm)	Roots number	Seed Vigor Index
Temperature x Genotype					
10 °C					
Antalis	94.5 af	1.41 n	3.09 k	3.02 i	133.29 k
Bidì	93 ai	1.32 n	3.11 k	3.95 gh	123.59 k
Capeiti 8	87.5 fj	1.05 n	2.12 l	3.12 i	92.12 k
Castiglione G.	86.5 hj	1.65 n	3.58 k	3.32 hi	143.15 k
Core	86 ij	1.34 n	3.13 k	3.07 i	115.50 k
Faricello	96.5 ad	1.51 n	3.11 k	3.55 hi	145.34 k
Francesca	89.5 dj	1.57 n	2.79 kl	4.62 dg	141.30 k
Orizzonte	93 ai	1.47 n	2.79 kl	3.45 hi	137.03 k
Perciasacchi	96 ae	1.96 n	3.06 k	4.22 fg	187.92 k
Simeto	93.5 ah	1.08 n	2.72 kl	3 i	101.23 k
Timilia R.B.	96 ae	1.47 n	3.59 k	3.1 i	141.06 k
Timilia R.N.	86.5 hj	1.26 n	2.86 kl	2.97 i	109.40 k
20 °C					
Antalis	96 ae	8.27 lm	10.42 ab	5.02 ae	793.5 hi
Bidì	94.5 af	10.3 hi	11.29 a	5.15 ae	972.1 fg
Capeiti 8	92.5 aj	8.79 jl	6.22 fi	4.92 bf	812.2 hi
Castiglione G.	85.5 cj	12 de	10.43 ab	5.1 ae	1021.7 df
Core	90 cj	9.6 ij	8.32 c	5.67 ab	864.7 h
Faricello	99 a	10.7 gh	5.84 gi	5.32 ad	1059.1 df
Francesca	91.5 bj	10.8 fh	7.58 cd	5.15 ae	991.8 ef
Orizzonte	98.5 ab	8.39 km	10.02 b	5.15 ae	826.1 hi
Perciasacchi	96 ae	10.3 hi	5.79 gi	5.7 a	984.0 g
Simeto	89.5 dj	7.74 m	8.28 c	4.95 af	693.0 j
Timilia R.B.	97 ac	9.14 jk	6.12 fi	4.77 df	886.4 gh
Timilia R.N.	95 ae	11.5 eg	11.08 a	4.5 eg	1095.8 cd
30 °C					
Antalis	95.5 ae	10.83 fh	6.89 df	3.4 hi	1034.0 df
Bidì	89.2 dj	14.14 b	7.16 de	5.35 ad	1261.2 b
Capeiti 8	72 k	10.39 hi	3.60 k	3.37 hj	757.3 ij
Castiglione G.	90.5 cj	13.20 c	6.90 df	5.32 ad	1195.8 b
Core	94 ag	12.54 cd	4.84 j	4.9 ef	1179.5 bc
Faricello	72.5 k	11.63 ef	6.16 fi	5.35 ad	842.8 h
Francesca	87 gj	16.51 a	5.88 gi	5.12 ae	1433.8 a
Orizzonte	93.25 ah	13.04 c	6.12 fi	4.77 df	1214.0 b
Perciasacchi	89 ej	12.15 de	5.69 hj	5.62 ac	1082.3 de
Simeto	89.5 dj	10.78 fh	6.47 eh	4.92 bf	963.8 fg
Timilia R.B.	96.5 ae	12.78 cd	6.73 dg	4.57 dg	1232.9 b
Timilia R.N.	73.2 k	14.19 b	5.42 ij	4.6 dg	1037.6 df

Table 3: Fresh shoot, fresh root, shoot dry and root dry weight of eight landraces and four modern cultivar of durum wheat under the influences of different temperature regimes.

Source of variation	Fresh Shoot Weight (mg)	Fresh Root Weight (mg)	Dry Shoot Weight (mg)	Dry root Weight (mg)
Temperature x Genotype				
10 °C				
Antalis	0.4617 k	0.6811 mo	0.0526 n	0.0663 jk
Bidì	0.4363 k	0.9815 jo	0.0716 n	0.0825 jk
Capeiti 8	0.2291 m	0.5596 o	0.0815 mn	0.1103 ik
Castiglione G.	0.6810 jk	1.0770 hn	0.0824 mn	0.1242 hj
Core	0.4375 k	0.8208 ko	0.0554 n	0.0816 jk
Faricello	0.6588 jk	1.1933 fl	0.0698 n	0.0841 jk
Francesca	0.5213 jk	1.0346 io	0.0876 mn	0.1327 hj
Orizzonte	0.4809 jk	0.8271 ko	0.0324 n	0.0539 jk
Perciasacchi	0.9407 j	1.5105 ci	0.0650 n	0.0774 jk
Simeto	0.3728 k	0.7381 lo	0.0175 n	0.0359 k
Timilia R.B.	0.5442 jk	0.9857 jo	0.0223 n	0.0499 jk
Timilia R.N.	0.3400 k	0.6961 mo	0.0625 n	0.0843 jk
20 °C				
Antalis	4.3350 gh	2.1443 ab	0.4484 jk	0.3880 be
Bidì	6.6412 a	2.3131 a	0.6471 dg	0.4181 cd
Capeiti 8	3.5081 i	1.2488 ek	0.3546 kl	0.3017 f
Castiglione G.	5.4981 cd	1.3392 cj	0.6685 cf	0.5482 a
Core	4.3463 gh	1.5476 ch	0.7424 ad	0.6057 a
Faricello	4.7441 eg	1.0253 jo	0.3799 jl	0.2135 g
Francesca	5.1078 de	1.3270 cj	0.3714 kl	0.1666 gi
Orizzonte	4.3351 gh	2.4757 a	0.4170 jk	0.3011 f
Perciasacchi	5.1253 de	1.2857 dk	0.3049 l	0.0992 ik
Simeto	4.0420 h	1.7661 bc	0.6986 be	0.6131 a
Timilia R.B.	3.1003 i	0.5980 no	0.1729 m	0.0669 jk
Timilia R.N.	5.1396 de	1.6358 cf	0.4501 jk	0.3253 ef
30 °C				
Antalis	4.6846 eg	1.5776 cg	0.5450 hi	0.3310 df
Bidì	7.0183 a	1.7215 be	0.8039 a	0.4291 bc
Capeiti 8	3.2843 i	1.1161 gm	0.5508 gj	0.4540 b
Castiglione G.	5.7140 bc	1.2156 fl	0.5948 fh	0.5393 a
Core	4.7191 eg	1.7313 bd	0.7485 ac	0.4120 bd
Faricello	4.5531 fg	1.0100 jo	0.6080 eh	0.3825 bc
Francesca	5.9970 b	1.6373 cf	0.7910 ab	0.4135 bd
Orizzonte	4.9540 ef	1.0208 jo	0.7129 ad	0.4149 bd
Perciasacchi	6.0263 b	2.1114 ab	0.7531 ac	0.4092 bd
Simeto	4.7570 eg	1.2778 dk	0.5920 fh	0.3934 bc
Timilia R.B.	4.3625 gh	1.1190 gm	0.4765 ij	0.3603 cf
Timilia R.N.	4.8374 ef	0.9465 jo	0.5590 gi	0.1998 gh

In each column, mean followed by common letter are not significantly different with Duncan's Multiple Range test at 5% probability level

The Pearson correlation between germination parameters has been evaluated separately for three-level of temperature because of the significant interaction of genotypes and temperature [3]. A correlation study among germination parameters showed a positive and highly significant correlation of Shoot Length with Seed Vigor Index and Fresh Shoot Weight in all temperature regimes. No positive and significant correlation between

Germination and Shoot length, Root length, Fresh Shoot Weight, Fresh Root Weight, Dry Shoot weight, and Dry Root Weight has been (see table 4).

Table 4: Pearson's correlation matrix for the germination parameters studied

10 °C									
Parameter	Germination (%)	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Root number	Seed vigor index	Fresh shoot weight (mg)	Fresh root weight (mg)	Dry shoot weight (mg)	Dry root weight (mg)
Germination (%)	1	0.322	0.229	0.208	0.517	0.451	0.448	-0.447	-0.588
Shoot length (cm)	0.322	1	0.547	0.602	0.976	0.947	0.881	0.234	0.212
Root length (cm)	0.229	0.547	1	-0.009	0.527	0.565	0.495	-0.150	-0.101
Root number	0.208	0.602	-0.009	1	0.598	0.518	0.672	0.494	0.455
Seed vigor index	0.517	0.976	0.527	0.598	1	0.956	0.900	0.120	0.062
Fresh shoot weight (mg)	0.451	0.947	0.565	0.518	0.956	1	0.946	0.135	0.077
Fresh root weight (mg)	0.448	0.881	0.495	0.672	0.900	0.946	1	0.220	0.146
Dry shoot weight (mg)	-0.447	0.234	-0.150	0.494	0.120	0.135	0.220	1	0.935
Dry root weight (mg)	-0.588	0.212	-0.101	0.455	0.062	0.077	0.146	0.935	1
20 °C									
Parameter	Germination (%)	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Root number	Seed vigor index	Fresh shoot weight (mg)	Fresh root weight (mg)	Dry shoot weight (mg)	Dry root weight (mg)
Germination (%)	1	-0.222	-0.214	-0.040	0.110	-0.154	0.069	-0.666	-0.675
Shoot length (cm)	-0.222	1	0.147	0.031	0.943	0.632	-0.307	0.044	-0.129
Root length (cm)	-0.214	0.147	1	-0.326	0.064	0.538	0.756	0.563	0.548
Root number	-0.040	0.031	-0.326	1	0.019	0.221	0.016	0.204	0.049
Seed vigor index	0.110	0.943	0.064	0.019	1	0.594	-0.298	-0.172	-0.355
Fresh shoot weight (mg)	-0.154	0.632	0.538	0.221	0.594	1	0.410	0.420	0.175
Fresh root weight (mg)	0.069	-0.307	0.756	0.016	-0.298	0.410	1	0.476	0.454
Dry shoot weight (mg)	-0.666	0.044	0.563	0.204	-0.172	0.420	0.476	1	0.951
Dry root weight (mg)	-0.675	-0.129	0.548	0.049	-0.355	0.175	0.454	0.951	1
30 °C									
Parameter	Germination (%)	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Root number	Seed vigor index	Fresh shoot weight (mg)	Fresh root weight (mg)	Dry shoot weight (mg)	Dry root weight (mg)
Germination (%)	1	0.083	0.545	0.105	0.637	0.330	0.436	0.189	0.247
Shoot length (cm)	0.083	1	0.209	0.475	0.818	0.633	0.137	0.525	-0.069
Root length (cm)	0.545	0.209	1	0.367	0.451	0.568	0.072	0.007	0.036
Root number	0.105	0.475	0.367	1	0.426	0.703	0.292	0.577	0.248
Seed vigor index	0.637	0.818	0.451	0.426	1	0.673	0.361	0.530	0.144
Fresh shoot weight (mg)	0.330	0.633	0.568	0.703	0.673	1	0.586	0.721	0.209
Fresh root weight (mg)	0.436	0.137	0.072	0.292	0.361	0.586	1	0.657	0.222
Dry shoot weight (mg)	0.189	0.525	0.007	0.577	0.530	0.721	0.657	1	0.304
Dry root weight (mg)	0.247	-0.069	0.036	0.248	0.144	0.209	0.222	0.304	1

Bold value are significant at 0,05 probability level

3. Discussion

All parameters studied were highly influenced by Temperature, Genotype, and their interaction. No significant difference between landraces and conventional varieties has been reported. Our findings indicate that Faricello, Castiglione glabro, and Perciasacchi have good germination to low temperature and Bidì has a good growth rate of many germination traits that makes this landrace very attractive. To confirm our findings, further research is needed.

4. Materials and methods

Seeds were tested under 3 temperature regimes (10 °C, 20 °C, and 30 °C). Experiments were laid out in a two factorial design using a complete randomized design (CRD) with four replications. Nine parameters were measured under laboratory conditions: germination, shoot length, root length, roots number, seed vigor index, fresh shoot weight, fresh root weight, dry shoot weight, dry root weight. Data were examined using analysis of variance techniques (ANOVA) to identify significant differences among temperatures and genotypes. Duncan's Multiple Range test was applied at a 5% level of probability to compare the mean differences. Pearson's correlation coefficient between different traits and the main factor was also computed.

Germination percentage was calculated based on normal seedling evaluated on the 8th day and it was expressed in percentage [4]. To calculate Seed Vigor Index, the following formula that evaluates the seedling growth has been used [5,6]:

$$\text{Seed Vigor Index (SVI)} = \text{Shoot length} \times \text{Germination Percentage.}$$

Author Contributions: L.R. and A.R. technical support; B.F. data curation and formal analysis; C.M. writing – original draft; M.V. conceptualization, methodology, supervision, writing – review & editing. All Authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to extend heartfelt thanks to Adele Salamone for the valuable tips provided in the drafting of the text.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Kan, M.; Küçükçongar, M.; Keser, M.; Morgounov, A.; Muminjanov, H.; Özdemir, F.; Qualset, C.; Wheat Landraces in Farmers' Fields in Turkey: National Survey, Collection, and Conservation, 2009-2014, **2015**, 17-29 ISBN: 978-92-5-109048-0 (pp. 17-29)
2. Qayyum, A.; Razzaq, A.; Ahmad, M.; Jenks, M.A.; Water stress causes differential effects on germination indices, total soluble sugar and proline content in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes. *African Journal of Biotechnology* **2011** Vol. 10(64), pp. 14038-14045 DOI 10.5897/AJB11.2220
3. Ahmadzadeh, M.; Valizadeh, M.; Zaefizadeh, M. and Shahbazi H. Evaluation of interaction between genotype and environments in term of germination and seedling growth in durum wheat landraces. *Advances in Environment Biology*, **2011** 5(4): 554-558
4. ISTA, International rules for seed testing. Annex to chapter 5: The germination test. *Seed Sci. Technol.*, **1999** 27: 27-32
5. Buriro, M.; Oad, F.C.; Keerio, M.I.; Tunio, S.; Gandahi, A.W.; Hassan, S.W.U. and Oad, S.M.; Wheat seed germination under the influence of temperature regimes. *Sarhad J. Agric.* **2010** 27(4): 539-543
6. Porter, J.R.; Gawith, M.; Temperatures and growth and development of wheat: a review. *European Journal of Agronomy*. **1999** 10: 23-36